

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

FILED

13 OCT -7 AM 10: 45

SONYA J. KRASKI COUNTY CLERK SNOHOMISH CO. WASH.

SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON FOR SNOHOMISH COUNTY

LAMBERT CREEK CONDOMINIUM OWNERS ASSOCIATION, a Washington Non-Profit Company; JANINE JORDAN, a Washington resident; JOHN SINCAVAGE, a Washington resident; NAZREEN HAMID, a Washington resident; TRI DUONG & NGUYET TRUONG, Washington residents; JEREMY HANSEROTH, a Washington resident; LEO KIM, a Washington resident; LES & BEVERELY TROY, Washington residents; ROSANNA & MARK MANGIBIN, Washington residents: WOLDEMICHAEL TISHOME & ASFAW ASTER TEFERA, Washington residents; **TESFAI MUSSIE & HAGOS ASTER** ZEREZGI, Washington residents; VY BUI, a Washington resident; JAMES LUSK-FAUQUET, a Washington resident; WORKNEH ALEMAYEHU & MEKDES GIRMA, Washington residents; HONG LOAN THI & NGUYEN PHUNG & NGUYEN TON NGOC, Washington residents; GEORGE STAMAS & ESTELLA CHO, Washington residents; VALERIE & JEFFREY OTTINGER, Washington residents; MARK SCOTT, a Washington resident; HUANG DE Z & LIN SI, Washington residents; BRET LANE, a Washington resident; RAYMOND & ELSA BRUNO, Washington residents; EARL

NO. 13-2-07751-3

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FO

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR BREACH OF WARRANTY; VIOLATION OF WASHINGTON CONDOMINIUM ACT; BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY; MISREPRESENTATION; and BREACH OF CONTRACT

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 1

HANGSITANG & TRACY CERRILLO,

CASEY & SKOGLUND PLLC 1319 Dexter Avenue North, Ste. 370 Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

Washington residents; JOAN ENGLAND, a Washington resident; STEVEN SCOTT 2 MICHAELS, a Washington resident; AKRAM ALI & SANA ALTABARANI. 3 Washington residents; SOROTH & HWA SGEB KUO, Washington residents; MICHELLE & LENNY SCHWARTZ, Washington residents; LY KUNTHEA & 5 LEANG BUY, Washington residents; MOTAMED LARIJANI KOOROS, a Washington resident; SOEUNG R. SANG, a Washington resident; MANI & SANTHI 7 SRIRANGATHAMA, Washington residents; RICHARD EILMAN, a Washington resident; LIN XINGPING & DENG JIANXIN, 9 Washington residents 10 Plaintiffs. VŞ. 11 LAMBERT CREEK DEVELOPMENT LLC, 12 a Washington Limited Liability Company; SDE HOLDINGS, a Washington Limited 13 Liability Company; LAMBERT CREEK 14 LLC, a Washington Limited Liability Company; FIRST SAVINGS BANK 15 NORTHWEST, a Washington Company; HIGHMARK AT LAMBERT CREEK LLC, a 16 Washington Limited Liability Company; HIGHMARK HOMES LLC, a Washington 17 Limited Liability Company; ROBERT B. NEHRING, a Washington Resident; ALAN R. 18 JACKSON, a Washington Resident; TODD PETERSON, a Washington Resident; TOM 19 TOLLEN, a Washington Resident, 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 COMES NOW, Plaintiffs identified above, by and through their attorneys, CASEY & 24 SKOGLUND PLLC, and assert the following: 25 FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 2 CASEY & SKOGLUND PLLC

> 1319 Dexter Avenue North, Ste. 370 Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

PARTIES

1.	Plaintiff Lambert Creek Condominium Owners Association is a Washington
non-profit co	rporation and is established, in part, pursuant to Washington Condominium Act
RCW 64.34	et. seq. Pursuant to Plaintiffs' Covenant Conditions Restrictions and Reservations
and RCW 64	4.34 et. seq. and assignments of rights, Plaintiff Lambert Creek Condominium
Owners Asso	ociation pursues these claims in its own name and on behalf of two or more
Association N	Members.

- Plaintiff JANINE JORDAN is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek
 Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiff JOHN SINCAVAGE is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek
 Condominium homeowner.
- 4. Plaintiff NAZREEN HAMID is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiffs TRI DUONG & NGUYET TRUONG are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- Plaintiff JEREMY HANSEROTH is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek
 Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiff LEO KIM is a Washington resident; and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiffs LES & BEVERELY TROY are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 3

CASEY & SKOGLUND PLLC 1319 Dexter Avenue North, Ste. 370 Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

3

1

5

4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

9.	Plaintiffs	ROSANNA	&	MARK	MANGIBIN	are	Washington	residents	and
Lambert Cree	k Condomi	inium homeo [,]	wne	ers.					

- 10. Plaintiffs WOLDEMICHAEL TISHOME & ASFAW ASTER TEFERA are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 11. Plaintiffs TESFAI MUSSIE & HAGOS ASTER ZEREZGI are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 12. Plaintiff VY BUI is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- 13. Plaintiff JAMES LUSK-FAUQUET is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- 14. Plaintiffs WORKNEH ALEMAYEHU & MEKDES GIRMA are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 15. Plaintiffs HONG LOAN THI & NGUYEN PHUNG & NGUYEN TON NGOC are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- Plaintiffs GEORGE STAMAS & ESTELLA CHO are Washington residents and
 Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 17. Plaintiffs VALERIE & JEFFREY OTTINGER are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 18. Plaintiff MARK SCOTT is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiffs HUANG DE Z & LIN SI are Washington residents and Lambert Creek
 Condominium homeowners.

20.	Plaintiff	BRET	LANE	is	a	Washington	resident	and	Lambert	Creel
Condominiun	n homeowi	ner.								

- 21. Plaintiffs RAYMOND & ELSA BRUNO are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 22. Plaintiffs EARL HANGSITANG & TRACY CERRILLO are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 23. Plaintiffs JOAN ENGLAND is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek
- 24. Plaintiff STEVEN SCOTT MICHAELS is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- Plaintiffs AKRAM ALI & SANA ALTABARANI are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 26. Plaintiffs SOROTH & HWA SGEB KUO are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 27. Plaintiffs MICHELLE & LENNY SCHWARTZ are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 28. Plaintiffs LY KUNTHEA & LEANG BUY are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- Plaintiff MOTAMED LARIJANI KOOROS is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- 30. Plaintiff SOEUNG R. SANG is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek
 Condominium homeowner

- 31. Plaintiffs MANI & SANTHI SRIRANGATHAMA are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 32. Plaintiff RICHARD EILMAN is a Washington resident and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowner.
- 33. Plaintiffs LIN XINGPING & DENG JIANXIN are Washington residents and Lambert Creek Condominium homeowners.
- 34. Defendant Lambert Creek Development LLC is a Washington Limited Liability Company.
- 35. Lambert Creek Development LLC is in good standing with the Washington Secretary of State.
- 36. Lambert Creek Development LLC is identified as Declarant for and/or of Lambert Creek Condominium.
- 37. Lambert Creek Development LLC is identified as seller of certain of the condominium homes the subject of this litigation.
 - 38. Defendant Lambert Creek LLC is a Washington Limited Liability Company.
 - 39. Lambert Creek LLC is in good standing with the Washington Secretary of State.
- 40. Lambert Creek LLC is identified as General Contractor for construction of certain of the condominium homes.
- 41. Defendants Lambert Creek Development LLC and Lambert Creek LLC are alter-egos of one another and share joint and/or severable liability for action or failures to act identified herein and hereafter.
 - 42. Defendant SDE Holdings LLC is a Washington Limited Liability Company.

Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

	64.	Jurisdiction in this Court is proper as the property damage, defective work and
rele	vant cont	racts were performed and entered within Snohomish County and Defendants
tran	sact busin	ess in, or have or, at material times, had their principal place of business in or
resi	de in Snot	nomish County Washington.

65. Venue is proper as Defendants transacted business in Snohomish County Washington and/or have or at material times had their principal place of business in, or reside in Snohomish County Washington, and the subject real property is located in Snohomish County.

CAUSES OF ACTION

BREACH OF CONDOMINIUM ACT: WARRANTIES

- 66. Plaintiff's re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 67. Pursuant to RCW 64.34.445, the Washington Condominium Act, Defendants impliedly warranted the homes and common elements are suitable for the ordinary use of real estate of its type, and that improvements made or contracted for are free from defective materials and constructed in accord with sound engineering and construction standards. Defendants further impliedly warranted the homes and common elements are constructed in a workmanlike manner and in compliance with then applicable law.
- 68. Defendants breached the implied warranties identified above and, as a consequence of construction deficiencies damage is occurring at the project, including water intrusion and damage that adversely impacts the homes'/community's useful safe life.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 9

CASEY & SKOGLUND PLLC 1319 Dexter Avenue North, Ste. 370 Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

69. Pursuant to RCW 64.34.443, the Washington Condominium Act, Defendants expressly warranted that any construction conforms to any plans and specifications provided for such construction, to the extent it was not excluded under RCW 64.34.410(1)(w).

70. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants' conduct the homeowners and Association are damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Such damages include, among other things, the cost of repairing the damage and stigma damages. Damages include the costs associated with remediation plans and attorney fees in an amount to be proven at trial.

BREACH OF CONDOMINIUM ACT: RCW 64.34.312

- 71. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 72. Defendant Declarant and Dealers were required to deliver to the association all property of the unit owners, including minute books, canceled checks, bank statements, financial statements and source documents from the time of incorporation of the Association through the date of transfer of control to the unit owners.
- 73. Defendants were required to deliver to the Association all funds or the control of the funds of the Association.
- 74. Defendants were required to deliver to the Association a copy of the Defendants' plans and specifications utilized in the construction of the condominium, with a certificate of the declarant or a licensed architect or engineer that the plans and specifications represent the actual plans and specifications utilized by the declarant in the construction of the condominium.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 10

- 75. Defendants were required to deliver to the Association copies of Certificates of Occupancies or the equivalent for each home constructed and sold.
- 76. Defendants failed to provide the information and documentation identified herein and the Plaintiff is harmed thereby in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

BREACH OF CONDOMINIUM ACT: RCW 64.34.405 / .410 / .415 / .420

- 77. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 78. Defendant Declarant and Dealers were required to prepare a public offering statement in conformance with RCW 64.34.410 and .415, and were required to provide a copy to each Plaintiff in the manner described in RCW 64.34.420.
- 79. The public offering statement was required to include identification of common amenity which materially affects the value of the condominium and any information helpful in describing the condominium to the recipients of the public offering statement.
- 80. The public offering statement was required to include copies of the survey map and plans and the balance sheet of the Association current within 90 days.
- 81. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiffs a public offering statement and/or a public offering statement in compliance with RCW 64.34 et.seq.
- 82. The Plaintiffs are entitled to statutory damages in an amount to be proven at time of trial.

BREACH OF CONDOMINIUM ACT: FIDUCIARY DUTY

83. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

84. Defendants, as Officers or Members of Plaintiff Association, were fiduciaries of Plaintiffs and were required to act, in all instances, on behalf of the Association. Said Defendants were required to put the interests of the Association before their own.

85. Defendants violated their fiduciary duty, in part, by failing to ensure the construction of the homes complied with applicable standards, including ensuring each is in receipt of a Certificate of Occupancy or other indication the homes are adequately inspected and habitable prior to their occupancy.

86. Plaintiffs are damaged by Defendants' violation of their fiduciary duty in an amount that will be proven at or before trial.

BREACH OF WARRANTY OF HABITABILITY

- 87. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 88. The Defendants impliedly warranted that the homes and common elements were habitable; free from defects and damage adversely impacting the useful safe life of the homes.
- 89. The Defendants breached the applicable implied warranty in that defects are serious and substantial and adversely impact the habitability of homes.
- 90. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants' conduct the Plaintiffs are damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Such damages includes costs of repairing the damage caused by defective workmanship and materials, and related costs; the cost; stigma damages; costs associated with the remediation plan and attorney fees in an amount to be proven at trial.

91.

BREACH OF CONTRACT

92.	Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as i
fully set forth	herein.

- 93. Plaintiffs entered into contracts with the Defendants to purchase their unit, the limited common elements, and the common elements. These contracts contain duties, obligations and warranties that the Defendants violated and/or breached.
- 94. Under RCW 64.34 and per individual assignments the Association is authorized to pursue these claims on behalf of the individual owners.
- 95. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants' conduct Plaintiffs are damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Such damages include the cost of repairing the damage caused by defective workmanship and materials; stigma damages; costs associated with acquiring a remediation plan and attorney fees in an amount to be proven at trial.

MISREPRESENTATION

- 96. Plaintiffs re-allege and incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 97. Defendants represented the homes and community was constructed in compliance with applicable laws, including building codes and was free from defective materials and constructed in a workmanlike manner.
 - 98. Defendants' representations were relied upon by the Plaintiffs to their detriment.
- 99. As a direct and proximate cause of the Defendants' conduct Plaintiffs are damaged in an amount to be proven at trial. Such damages include the cost of repairing the

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 13

CASEY & SKOGLUND PLLC 1319 Dexter Avenue North, Ste. 370 Seattle, Washington 98109 Phone (206) 284-8165

damage caused by defective workmanship and materials; stigma damages; costs associated with acquiring a remediation plan and attorney fees in an amount to be proven at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against all Defendants as follows:

- 1. Damages for (a) violation of Condominium Act, (b) warranty of habitability, (c) misrepresentation, (d) breach of contract, (e) breach of fiduciary duty and (f) stigma damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
 - 2. Attorneys' fees and cost pursuant to statute and contract.
 - Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and equitable.
 DATED October 3, 2013.

Casey & Skoglund PLLC

Attorney for Plaintiffs

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT - 14