2 3 4	ANN MARIE THOMPSON, SBN 152043 SUNDERLAND   McCUTCHAN, LLP 11770 BERNARDO PLAZA COURT, SUITE 3 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92128 (858) 675-7800 Phone (858) 675-7807 Fax	C. Martinez	K WIL	
5 6 7	Attorneys for Defendants, BENNION & DEVIL REAL ESTATE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (6 ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY" and "WIND JOHN PIRO	LE FINE HOMES, INC. dba WINDERMERE erroneously sued as "WINDERMERE REAL ERMERE REAL ESTTE SOCAL, INC.") AND		
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA			
9	COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, PALM SPRINGS BRANCH			
10				
11	NANETTE VAN WYK, an individual,	CASE NO.: PSC 1403783	į	
12   13	Plaintiffs, vs.	BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC. DBA WINDERMERE REAL		
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	CESARE ROSSI, an individual, MARZIA MANNINI ROSSI, an individual, JOHN PIRO, an individual, WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOCAL, a California Corporation, WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY, a Washington Corporation, Does 1-25, inclusive,  Defendants.  BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC. dba WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA, and JOHN PIRO,  Cross-Complainants, vs.  MARC ROBINSON, AFUSION REAL ESTATE, JIM YOUNG HOME INSPECTION, and ROES, 1 through 50,  Cross-Defendants.	ESTATE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND JOHN PIRO'S CROSS-COMPLAINT FOR EQUITABLE INDEMNITY, CONTRIBUTION, APPORTIONMENT OF FAULT AND DECLARATORY RELIEF  JUDGE: Hon. John G. Evans DEPT.: PS1 Complaint Filed: 7/14/2014 Trial Date: Not Yet Assigned		
	_	.1_		

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

"WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES COMPANY" and "WINDERMERE REAL

ESTATE SOCAL, INC.") and JOHN PIRO (hereinafter collectively referred to as "Cross-

Complainants") and upon information and belief allege as follows:

### PRELIMINARY ALLEGATIONS

- Cross-Complainant BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC. DBA 1. WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA ("BENNION & DEVILLE") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a real estate brokerage duly licensed under the laws of the State of California, with its principal place of business in the County of Riverside, State of California.
- Cross-Complainant JOHN PIRO is an individual residing in the County of 2. Riverside, State of California.
- At all times herein mentioned, Cross-Defendant MARC ROBINSON 3. ("ROBINSON") is, and at all relevant times was, an individual residing in the State of California, licensed by the California Department of Real Estate to engage in real estate sales in the State of California, and who, under his License ID #1864020, is affiliated with AFUSION REAL ESTATE. ROBINSON, at all times relevant to this lawsuit, acted as agent for Plaintiff NANETTE VAN WYK in the purchase of real property located at 2320 N. Sandra Road, Palm Springs, California 92262 (hereinafter "Subject Property").
- At all times herein mentioned, Cross-Defendant AFUSION REAL ESTATE 4. ("AFUSION") is, and at all relevant times was, a business entity of unknown form licensed to engage in real estate sales in the State of California under its License Number ID: 01380454.
- At all times herein mentioned, Cross-Defendant JIM YOUNG HOME 5. INSPECTION ("JIM YOUNG") was an entity of unknown form doing business as a "licensed home inspector" in Riverside County, State of California. JIM YOUNG conducted an inspection of the Subject Property in and around August 2, 2012, on behalf of Plaintiff VAN WYK.

28 /// 

- Defendants sued herein as ROES 1 through 50 inclusive, and therefore sue these Cross-Defendants by such fictitious names. Cross-Complainants will seek leave to amend this Cross-Complaint to show the true names and capacities of such fictitiously named Cross-Defendants when the same has been ascertained. Cross-Complainants allege that each of the Cross-Defendants designated herein as a ROE is legally responsible for each of the acts, circumstances, events, and happenings herein referred to, by either virtue of their negligence or otherwise.
- 7. Cross-Complainants allege that at all times herein mentioned, each Cross-Defendant was the agent and/or employee of the remaining Cross-Defendants, and in doing the things alleged herein were acting within the course and scope of such agency and/or employment.
- 8. Cross-Complainant intends service of this Cross-Complaint to serve as a formal demand on Cross-Defendants that they defend, hold harmless and indemnify Cross-Complainants from the claims being made by Plaintiff NANETTE VAN WYK. Cross-Complainants further demand that Cross-Defendants reimburse Cross-Complainants for all damages, judgments, litigation expenses, attorneys' fees and other claims of Plaintiff as alleged against Defendants/Cross-Complainants in Plaintiff's Complaint filed on or about July 14, 2014. Should Cross-Defendants fail to respond to this demand or file a responsive pleading to this Cross-Complainant, Cross-Complainants will assume that Cross-Defendants have no intention of accepting this demand.

### **OPERATIVE FACTS**

- 9. This action arises out of the sale of the Subject Property to Plaintiff on or about July 12, 2012. Cross-Complainants, as real estate brokers, represented CESARE ROSSI and MARZIA MANNINI ROSSI as sellers of the Subject Property in the transaction.
- 10. On or about July 14, 2014, Plaintiff NANETTE VAN WYK filed her Complaint in the above-entitled action against CESARE ROSSI, MARZIA MANNINI ROSSI, JOHN PIRO, and BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC. dba WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA (erroneously sued as "WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE"

### (Indemnity Against all Cross-Defendants)

- 13. Cross-Complainants incorporate herein by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 12, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- Cross-Complainants are informed and believe, and upon such basis allege, that Cross-Defendants were aware, or should have been aware of, the alleged defects, problems, and conditions involving the Subject Property and failed to disclose these defects, problems, and conditions to Plaintiff and Cross-Complainants and/or failed to properly advise the Plaintiff as to inspection and disclosure requirements all prior to close of escrow on the Subject Property.
- Cross-Complainants are informed and believe, and upon such basis allege, that if 15. damages have been incurred by Plaintiff, those damages were caused in whole or in part by the failure of Cross-Defendants to act appropriately and meet their standard of care in carrying out their duties to Plaintiff and complying with the appropriate laws and standards of care established in California, and the County of Riverside, pertaining to real estate broker/agents and home inspectors.
- As a result of Plaintiff's Complaint, Cross-Complainants have been required to 16. appear and defend themselves as Defendants pursuant to said underlying Complaint. Cross-Complainants have filed an answer to the Complaint denying the allegations of the Complaint

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

and setting form numerous attirmative detenses mereto.	Said Willamet is inforthorated neterit of
reference	

- 17. If Cross-Complainants are held responsible to Plaintiff in the action for any of the matters alleged in the Complaint, Cross-Complainants are entitled to complete, total, or partial indemnity from Cross-Defendants, and each of them, for any and all sums which Cross-Complainants may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgments or other awards recovered by Plaintiff; and for any and all losses Cross-Complainants may sustain in this matter because of the conduct of Cross-Defendants, and each of them, which conduct was the proximate and actual cause of the facts upon which the causes of action of the underlying Complaint filed by Plaintiff is based.
- 18. The claims of Plaintiff arise out of the same occurrences and real estate transaction for which Cross-Defendants were involved as Plaintiff's real estate agent/broker and Plaintiff's home inspector, and a determination of all claims in one proceeding is necessary and appropriate in order to avoid the multiplicity of actions that would result if Cross-Complainants were required now to defend against the claims of Plaintiff and then bring a separate action against Cross-Defendants for indemnification for any sum or sums which Cross-Complainants may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgment or other awards recovered by Plaintiff as against Cross-Complainants.

## SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

# (Equitable Contribution Against All Cross-Defendants)

- 19. Cross-Complainant incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 18, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.
- 20. Cross-Complainants deny any liability or responsibility whatsoever with respect to the damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff. However, if it should be found that Cross-Complainants were in some manner responsible for the damages allegedly sustained by Plaintiff, then any such damages found to have been sustained by Plaintiff in this action were proximately caused or contributed to by the conduct of Cross-Defendants, and each of them.

1	21. C1055-Complaniants are enumed to a determination of a procued weblee of		
2	negligence and/or fault of Cross-Defendants so that these Cross-Complainants will not be		
3	required to pay more than their pro rata share of any damages, judgment, or other award		
4	recovered by Plaintiff. Cross-Complainants are entitled to equitable contribution from the Cross-		
5	Defendants, and each of them, in an amount consistent with Cross-Defendants' pro rata degree of		
6	negligence and/or fault.		
7	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
8	(Apportionment of Fault Against all Cross-Defendants)		
9	22. Cross-Complainant incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in		
10	Paragraphs 1 through 21, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.		
11	23. Cross-Complainants are informed and believe that Cross-Defendants were		
12	responsible, in whole or in part, for the injuries, if any, suffered by Plaintiff. If Cross-		
13	Complainants are adjudged to be liable to Plaintiff, Cross-Defendants should be required to:		
14	(a) Pay a share of Plaintiff's judgment which is in proportion to the comparative		
15	negligence of the Cross-Defendants in causing Plaintiff's damages; and		
16	(b) Reimburse Cross-Complainant for any payments it makes to Plaintiff in excess of		
17	its proportional share of all Cross-Defendants' negligence.		
18	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
19	(Declaratory Relief Against All Cross-Defendants)		
20	24. Cross-Complainant incorporates herein by reference the allegations set forth in		
21	Paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.		
22	25. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between Cross-Complainants and		
23	Cross-Defendants, and each of them, in that Cross-Complainants contend, and Cross-Defendants		
24	deny the following:		
25	(a) That, as between Cross-Complainants and Cross-Defendants, a responsibility, is		
26	any, for the damages claimed by Plaintiff herein rests entirely or partially on Cross-Defendants;		
27	(b) That as a result, Cross-Defendants, and each of them, are obligated to totally		
28	indemnify or partially indemnify Cross-Complainants for any sum or sums that Cross		
	II		

Complainants may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgment of other award recovered by Plaintiffs in the underlying action.

26. Cross-Complainants desire a judicial determination of their rights and duties, and

the rights and duties of Cross-Defendants, and each of them, as to the damages complained of in

l

Plaintiff's Complaint.

- 27. Cross-Complainants in particular desire a declaration of the respective liabilities of Cross-Complainants and Cross-Defendants, and each of them, for such damages, if any, and a declaration of Cross-Defendants' responsibility to indemnify Cross-Complainants for the sum or sums which Cross-Complainants may be compelled to pay and for which Cross-Defendants, and each of them, have been determined responsible.
- 28. Such a declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time so that Cross-Complainants may ascertain their rights and duties with respect to the claims made by Plaintiff in the action. Additionally, the claims of all parties arise out of the same transaction and occurrence, and a determination of all claims in one proceeding is necessary and appropriate in order to avoid the multiplicity of actions that would otherwise result if Cross-Complainants was required now to defend against the claims of Plaintiff and then bring a separate action against Cross-Defendants for contribution and indemnification of any sum or sums which Cross-Complainants may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgment or other award recovered by Plaintiff against Cross-Complainants.

WHEREFORE, Cross-Complainants pray for judgment against Cross-Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- Compensatory damages according to proof;
- Total and complete indemnity for any judgments rendered against Cross-Complainants;
- That judgment be rendered in favor of Cross-Complainants in the amount that Cross-Complainants may be compelled to pay as a result of any damages, judgment or other awards recovered by Plaintiff against Cross-Complainants;
- 4. That Cross-Defendants, and each of them, contribute their pro rata share according to

1		their respective degree of negligence and/or fault for any damages, judgment of outer	
2		awards recovered by Plaintiff, against Cross-Complainants;	
3	5.	A judicial determination of Cross-Complainants' and Cross-Defendants' respective	
4		liabilities for the damages claimed by Plaintiff in the action, if any are found to exist;	
5	6.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to contract,	
.6		principles of indemnity and other relevant legal bases; and	
7	7.	For all such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper.	
8			
9	DATED:	November 7, 2014 SUNDERLAND   McCUTCHAN, LLP	
10			
11			
12		By: And Many	
13		Robert J. Sunderland, Esq.  Ann Marie Thompson, Esq.	
14		Attorneys for Defendants, BENNION & DEVILLE FINE HOMES, INC. dba	
15		WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOUTHERN	
16		CALIFORNIA (erroneously sued as "WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SERVICES	
17		COMPANY and "WINDERMERE REAL ESTATE SOCAL, INC.") AND JOHN PIRO	
18			
19			
20			
21	,		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			